

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/082,492	02/22/2002	Gene Samson	271/231	4234
28075 7:	7590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER	
	, SEAGER & TUFTE	ROBERT, EDUARDO C		
1221 NICOLLI SUITE 800	ET AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55403-2420		3732	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		y				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/082,492	SAMSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eduardo C. Robert	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reon. i, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, ,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for al	=	ers, prosécution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 21-31 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002		shipstod to by the Everniner				
,						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been	oplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for		eceived.				
	·					
Attachment/s)						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/22/04</u> .	SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \text{Notice of In} \) 6) \(\bigcap \text{Other:} \)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21, 22, 24, 26, and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ham et al. (U.S. Patent 5,456,667, reference "40" on PTO-1449).

Ham et al. disclose a device comprising an elongated core wire 24 having distal and proximal ends; a coil tip 33 coupled to the distal end of the core wire 24; a cage assembly 12 having distal and proximal ends, and first deployment shape and second expanded shape (see Figures 1 and 1a); a tubular member or bushing (see Figure 1a) coupled to the distal end of the cage assembly, wherein the tubular member surrounds a distal portion of the core wire proximal the coil tip; and an actuator element having a first position and a second position, and located proximally to the cage assembly (see Figure 1). When the actuator is in the first position the cage assembly is in the first deployment shape and when the actuator is in the second position the cage assembly is in the second expanded shape. The core wire is freely moveable axially through the cage assembly (see Figures 1 and 1a). The device further comprises an inner coil 29 surrounding the elongated core wire within the cage assembly (see Figure 1a).

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23, 24, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ham et al. (U.S. Patent 5,456,667, reference "40" on PTO-1449).

Ham et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the tubular member being made from thermoplastic material (claim 23) and the inner coil being made from a radiopaque material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Ham et al. with the tubular member made from thermoplastic material and the inner coil made from a radiopaque material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for art cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eduardo C. Robert whose telephone number is 703-305-7333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,492 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on 703-308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eduardo C. Robert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

E.C.R.